

20100601.ba v04_n336.bam.20100601

>From ???@??? Mon May 31 18:00:18 2010 -0500
Date: Tue, 1 Jun 2010 00:00:14 GMT
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 4336
Message-Id: <20100601000014.CBC45A450F5@minime.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 4336

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF -- more
by John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
- 2) Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF
by John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
- 3) Re: Tower sleeve bearings
by john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
- 4) Tower sleeve bearings
by John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
- 5) disposing of stuff
by "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>
- 6) SRR12 Revival
by "Guido Santacana" <laffitte@prtc.net>
- 7) Re: SRR12 Revival
by Paul Beckwith <beckrep@citlink.net>
- 8) Re: SRR12 Revival
by "P.J. Rovero" <provero@ct.metrocast.net>
- 9) ARRL HANDBOOKS 4-SALE
by "K0DAN" <k0dan@comcast.net>
- 10) Johnson Matchstick?
by "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
- 11) Re: Johnson Matchstick?
by K8MFO@aol.com
- 12) Re: SRR12 Revival
by Joseph Pinner <kc5ijd@bellsouth.net>
- 13) RE: SRR12 Revival
by "Guido" <laffitte@prtc.net>
- 14) Johnson Manuals- Originals
by "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>
- 15) Boxes of Tubes
by "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>
- 16) RE: Memorial Day TCS Station
by "Lenox Carruth" <radios@sbcglobal.net>

Message-ID: <843204.2073.qm@web45616.mail.sp1.yahoo.com>

Date: Mon, 24 May 2010 13:38:42 -0700 (PDT)
From: John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF -- more
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=0A=0A--- On Mon, 5/24/10, John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com> wrote:=0A=0A> From: John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>=0A> Subject: Re: Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF = transformers - For Sale=0A> To: "k4pf@juno.com" <k4pf@juno.com>=0A> Date: Monday, May 24, 2010, 2:37 PM=0A> Further thought...been a while since=0A> I had my Halli's.=0A> =0A> In SSB mode, at zero beat (use xtal calibrator) the notch=0A> ought to null that carrier when its skirt sez "50" kHz=0A> exactly.=A0 Flip back & forth USB & LSB to make=0A> sure you're actually at zero beat.=A0 Play w/BFO pitch=0A> & tuning to get zero whether in USB or LSB.=A0 Your=0A> pitch control should then show zero; if not, loosen &=0A> rotate the knob on its brass slug til its shows zero.=0A> =0A> This assumes that your 1650 & 1750 kHz 2nd conversion=0A> xtal oscillators are spot on their freq.=A0 If not, you=0A> need to set those.=0A> =0A> All this stuff is interrelated in a non-obvious way.=A0=0A> Probably the hardest thing is to get the 1600 kHz 2nd IF=0A> passband correct, symmetric, and the output as well as freq.=0A> of the two 2nd conversion oscillators.=A0 =0A> =0A> Can be done manually (tedious) but fastest way is using a=0A> sweep gen.=A0 You can input the sweep gen. through the=0A> front of the radio (ant. terms) 'cause the 1st IF has a much=0A> wider bandpass compared to the 1600 kHz IF.=0A> =0A> The vast majority of the selectivity is made in the 3rd IF=0A> stages at 50 kHz.=0A> =0A> --- On Tue, 5/11/10, k4pf@juno.com <k4pf@juno.com>=0A> > wrote:=0A> =0A> > From: k4pf@juno.com <k4pf@juno.com>=0A> > Subject: Re: Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF transformers -=0A> For Sale=0A> > To: wb0eq@yahoo.com=0A> > Date: Tuesday, May 11, 2010, 9:56 PM=0A> > =0A> > Hi, John=0A> > =0A> > I wonder if you still have any of those IF=0A> transformers?=0A> > =0A> > Not for me, but I see a "wanted" ad in April's =0A> > Electric Radio Magazine, =0A> > a guy looking for one for an SX-101A.=0A> > =0A> > He said it's P/N 050-200-735.=0A> > =0A> > "Call Gene, NT6R 304/358-2603"=0A> > =0A> > I have an SX-115, and can vouch for the very=0A> effective=0A> > AGC.=0A> > I had that set up in an comparison with my 75S-3C,=0A> and=0A> > much=0A> > preferred the SX-115 for listening to SSB nets.=0A> > =0A> > The AGC characteristic showed up in c.w., when I used=0A> an=0A> > electronic=0A> > > TR switch.=A0 Key down, the S/meter was about 40 over.=0A> > Immediately after keying, the S/meter would rapidly=0A> fall to=0A> > about S/5,=0A> =0A> > than hang for a few tenths of a second, then fall=0A> away=0A> > toward zero at a slower rate.=A0 It wasn't really=0A> > annoying, but was odd.=0A> =0A> > You'd probably want to key the AGC to mute the SX-115=0A> > receiver=0A> =0A> > for full break-in, but I was just fooling around to=0A> see how=0A> > it worked.=0A> > =0A> > Strangely, I found that someone had shorted out a=0A> =0A> > cathode=0A> > resistor=0A> > in the audio stage.=A0 It sounded a lot better when=0A> > restored=0A> > to original.=0A> > =0A> > If you ever do get an =

SX-115, here's a hint for better A.M. reception: instead of having the rejection notch turned to "off", turn it to the other extreme. "Off" on the SX-115 places the notch just on the opposite sideband when you are listening to SSB, but results in a destructive notch within the a.m. passband. Moving it to the other direction gets it away from near the center of the a.m. passband. 73, Ed Knobloch

 -- Original Message -----
 From: John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
 To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
 Subject: Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF transformers - For Sale
 Date: Sun, 25 Apr 2010 16:38:27 -0700 (PDT)
 Oh, forgot...these IF cans are NOS, never used!
 When I had a Halli SX-101A, my plan was to wire in the same kind of "dual loop" AGC circuit that the SX-115 had. For that, I needed a 50 kHz IF can. Sadly, I never got to do it. I handled an SX-115 only once, in 1963 when I was quite young & relatively inexperienced. But I do remember the -115 as being very "smooth" in AGC action & clean (low distortion) audio (probably due to their use of negative audio feedback). The 1st AGC loop was derived from the 2nd to last IF stage (a place of not quite ultimate selectivity). It had fast attack/fast decay time constants and drove ONLY the RF stage. The 2nd AGC loop was taken from the usual place, a point of maximum selectivity, and drove the IF stages per usual, used fast attack/slow decay. (The SX-101A's single loop AGC also controlled the 1st mixer, interesting.) Halli claimed the dual loop AGC improved what they called "dynamic selectivity". A strong signal somewhat off freq (just outside of rx's IF bandpass) would cause reduced gain only in the RF stage (via the "wider" 1st AGC loop). That could reduce cross-modulation tendencies in the RF stage. At the same time, the other, "narrower" AGC loop would then drive the gain of the remaining AGC-controlled IF stages up to bring in-bandpass sigs back up. This kind of AGC ct. is unusual, no? Off the top of my head, I can't recall any other rx featuring this. I would love to have tried it!
 Oh well, I'm very happy with the really fast, tight, flat AGC characteristics of my Drake R-4*s and Collins 75S-3*s radios! It is really superb even when compared to some of today's rx's!
 --John WB0EQ

 Message-ID: <63394.19084.qm@web45614.mail.sp1.yahoo.com>
 Date: Mon, 24 May 2010 13:45:55 -0700 (PDT)
 From: John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
 Subject: Hallicrafters 50 kHz IF
 To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
 MIME-Version: 1.0
 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Sorry about the jumble of my last 2 notes on the above topic.

I am a firm believer in Plain Text emailing, esp. to this list.

I'll try find out what happened & fix it for next time.

--John WB0EQ

Message-Id: <6.2.1.2.2.20100524174858.030dbb30@pop-server.nc.rr.com>
Date: Mon, 24 May 2010 17:51:53 -0400
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Tower sleeve bearings
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Al,

I've always tried to balance whether it's easier for the rotor to take the full load, or to subject it to the uneven rotational stresses caused by a less than centered rotor/mast/thrust bearing arrangement. Though I have a couple of bearings, I've just let the rotor carry the static load. Nine years so far with no problems (a 4 element tribander and a rotary dipole),,,,

John

At 10:46 AM 5/24/2010, Al Parker wrote:

> The rotator is the only thing in the setup that will absorb any
> torque from wind loading, etc. It produces torque to turn the beam. If
> there is a brake in the rotator it, rather than the gearing, takes the
> wind torque. Our "normal" rotators have a ring of bearings (a thrust
> bearing) that support the weight of the whole assembly if there is no
> thrust bearing installed to support the mast. An external thrust bearing
> is not common on our small to medium arrays, the rotators are sized to take it.
> My present system is a rotating tubular tower that has the
> rotator at the base, and the whole load of the tower & antenna system is
> supported on a flange type thrust bearing above the rotator. The rotator
> takes no load at all, just provides torque to turn and to brake.
>73,
>Al, W8UT

>www.boatanchors.org
>www.hammarlund.info
>
>"There is nothing -- absolutely nothing -- half so much
>worth doing as simply messing about in boats"
>Ratty, to Mole
>
>On 5/23/2010 9:16 PM, K0DAN wrote:
>>As someone else said if you have a light load (low weight, small turning
>>radius) it probably doesn't matter much. But otherwise all the weight of
>>the mast + antenna(s) rests on the rotor, which could be damaging over
>>time. Also the lateral forces of wind + ice will tend to wear the metal
>>(aluminum tower? steel mast?) at the top and inside the "bearing". And
>>if the boom length(s) is of any size, you will have very large
>>start/stop torques which will all show as twist on your rotor.
>>
>>GL es 73
>>
>>Dan
>>K0DAN
>>
>>
>>----- Original Message ----- From: "John Sehring" <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
>>To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
>>Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2010 5:42 PM
>>Subject: Tower sleeve bearings
>>
>>
>>>Been looking at towers, new & used. Delhi is by far the most common
>>>tower in Canada.
>>>
>>>They have a line of guyed towers which have a "sleeve bearing up to
>>>1.5 inches" on the top of the "top" section, see:
>>><http://www.wade-antenna.com/Wade/GN.htm>
>>>
>>>I can't get any more info than that. Is this kind of bearing useable
>>>with a rotator? It looks to be made of a short piece of vertical
>>>tubing welded to the top of the section, where the three legs come
>>>together. I wonder how clearance is achieved between the inside of
>>>this sleeve and the outside of the mast from the rotator which runs up
>>>through it to the antenna?
>>>
>>>If the mast wasn't turned for a while, could not rust/corrosion build
>>>up in there? If the mast & sleeve sizes weren't closely matched
>>>wouldn't there be some sideways slop?
>>>
>>>Of course much finer would be a tower with a thick plate on top onto
>>>which a bearing could be attached to the mast running through it. That

>>>is a different style of Delhi self-supporting a tower called "DMX".
>>>
>>>As always thanks for you feedback.
>>>
>>>--John WB0EQ/VE6
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

Message-ID: <72271.67841.qm@web45615.mail.sp1.yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 14:28:50 -0700 (PDT)
From: John Sehring <wb0eq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Tower sleeve bearings
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Thanks, gang, I learned a lot about sleeve bearings in tower tops.

My antenna will be vy light (Spiderbeam) about 20 lbs & about 3.8 sq. ft. area to the wind. Rotator is Ham IV or Ham M. So, vertical static load not an issue.

I like the idea of using a PVC reducer in the sleeve, sized to match mast. Reasonably low friction & tough material & cheap!

Recall that I'm putting about 2 sections of tower on my roof.

* * *

My next step is to learn about non-steel guys, Dacron or Phillystran or whatever marine yards use. I have lots of antennas on my roof, don't want conductive guys to interfere with any of them.

This stuff has to be handled differently. E.g. no knots, I'm told it weakens the strength to 60%; that's why sailors would rather splice than knot. Need to use thimbles and curved metal loop-makers and special crimps, don't want to bend this kind of rope in too small a radius.

I will be able to use rather shallow guy angles, considerably less than 45 deg. from the horizontal, that'll help everything.

High UV resistance is an absolute must: altitude & latitude & # of days of sun--all high here.

Any experience with this?

Thanks.

--John WB0EQ/VE6

Message-ID: <B59AEF76E2404AA990F8A99B679F16C1@robert7549ca67>
From: "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: disposing of stuff
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 20:47:20 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----_NextPart_000_002D_01CAFC4B.782E56D0"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01CAFC4B.782E56D0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Have tubes f/s
4 - 6LQ6's used tested good \$45 plus shipping
2 new 811A - Cetron \$50 plus shipping
Box of Misc. IC's (size of a ream of paper comes in!!)
6L6's
VR tubes
5R4/s 5U4's etc.
Let me me know what you're interested in. Will piece out by the box.
Octals, 6 pin, 9 pin 6 v& 12v
Just want to move this stuff
Heathkit Manual sb104A Assembly manual
Johnson Viking II brown cover and grey cover (early and late units)
700CX copy
Colins 136B-2 original manual
Also have a B0x full of copies of manuals for the following radios =20
R390A, TRC133A, 312B-4, KWM-2/2a, 32S-1, 75S-3, 75a2, 75a1, =20
75s-1, 75s-3, 312b-, 30L1, 32s-3, 310b-1, 75a-3, original service =20
bulletin #3 for 75S-3B -. This box is in a about a 18" x 12" x 12" =20
box and is FULL.

Bob

wa0vrc

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01CAFC4B.782E56D0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_002D_01CAFC4B.782E56D0--

Message-ID: <A741F8418B98476EA7A8310B3930BFF1@MariaPC>
From: "Guido Santacana" <laffitte@prtc.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: SRR12 Revival
Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 22:03:08 -0430
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=response
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

After more than a year in wait, I derived sufficient strength and removed the SSR12 from the shelf, removed the chassis and went into the AC filter module to bypass it. The filter had failed completely producing the peculiar smell of a burned out transformer. It is a potted unit that would have to be replaced as a whole. The procedure requires the removal of the subchassis where the antenna, power, audio etc connectors are located. The subchassis is removed from inside the main chassis and then some more disassembly is required to reach the filter assemblies. Bypassing filter Z802 is then just a straight forward procedure. The SRR12 is back to normal with that nice frequency projection system and excellent reception. It is heavy though.

73s
Guido KP4FAR

Date: Tue, 25 May 2010 20:25:32 -0700
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Paul Beckwith <beckrep@citlink.net>
Subject: Re: SRR12 Revival
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Message-Id: <20100526032546.01BEE18E2D9@relay04.roch.ny.frontiernet.net>

Nice going, Guido!! I have an SRR-13A on line. Like you say, nice RX and nice frequency projection system.

73's de Paul K2LMQ Kingman, AZ

At 07:33 PM 5/25/2010, Guido Santacana wrote:

>After more than a year in wait, I derived sufficient strength and
>removed the SSR12 from the shelf, removed the chassis and went into
>the AC filter module to bypass it. The filter had failed completely
>producing the peculiar smell of a burned out transformer. It is a
>potted unit that would have to be replaced as a whole. The procedure
>requires the removal of the subchassis where the antenna, power,
>audio etc connectors are located. The subchassis is removed from
>inside the main chassis and then some more disassembly is required
>to reach the filter assemblies. Bypassing filter Z802 is then just
>a straight forward procedure. The SRR12 is back to normal with that
>nice frequency projection system and excellent reception. It is heavy though.
>

>73s

>Guido KP4FAR

MIME-Version: 1.0

Message-ID: <50998.1274870301@ct.metrocast.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"

Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 06:38:21 -0400

Subject: Re: SRR12 Revival

From: "P.J. Rovero" <provero@ct.metrocast.net>

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On Tue 05/25/10 22:33 , "Guido Santacana" laffitte@prtc.net sent:

> It is heavy though.

Always the master of under-statement, Guido. :-)

The FRR/SRR/MRR 11/12/13 series are built
like the proverbial battleships -- not just big
and heavy, but heavy for their size.

Glad to hear yours is back on the air...

P.J. "Josh" Rovero Ham Radio: KK1D
ABC: 9277 1985 BMW R80RT
Web: <http://www.roveroresearch.org>

Message-ID: <018201cafce9\$999f8bb0\$6601a8c0@K0DAN>
From: "K0DAN" <k0dan@comcast.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: ARRL HANDBOOKS 4-SALE
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 10:37:13 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have three ARRL Handbooks for sale:

1982, 1985, and 1998, all in good condition.

\$10 each, or all for \$25.

Will ship via USPS Media Mail (probably somewhere around \$3-\$5 per book, see <http://www.usps.com/prices/media-mail-prices.htm>).

Please contact me off-list

73
Dan
K0DAN
k0dan@arrl.net

Message-ID: <SNT106-DS138A8C303BB4EDA8C54739A0EA0@phx.gbl>
From: "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Johnson Matchstick?
Date: Wed, 26 May 2010 20:37:58 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="-----_NextPart_000_0143_01CAFD13.54061090"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_NextPart_000_0143_01CAFD13.54061090
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

A friend of mine sent me the following message. "Have you ever heard of =

a Johnson Matchstick? Picked up the controller box at a fest recently = but have not been able to find any info on the www."

Anyone know what the Matchstick is?

Thanks,

Jim, W8KGI

-----=_NextPart_000_0143_01CAFD13.54061090
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_0143_01CAFD13.54061090--

From: K8MF0@aol.com
Message-ID: <98a91.539fcf32.392facaf@aol.com>
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 07:08:31 EDT
Subject: Re: Johnson Matchstick?
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jim and Others:

The "Matchstick" was an all band, remotely switched, motor driven, vertical antenna system which covered the 80 through 10 meter bands. The vertical element was 35 feet long, and a tuning unit was mounted at the base of the antenna in a weatherproof box. The system even came with 6 fiberglass guy wires. Your friend apparently has the "indoor control box." In the ad I'm looking at on page 92 of July 1957 QST, Johnson claims SWR of 2:1 or less on all bands. Price at that time was \$129,50, On the same page is an advertisement for their array of single band beams.

Today you see that a number of companies, (MFJ, Hy-Gain, DX Engineering, etc) are offering 43 foot, all band antenna systems. Well, Edgar F. Johnson and his crew up at Waseca, Minnesota were over 50 years ahead of the game!

73

Don K8MFO

In a message dated 5/26/2010 10:43:20 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
knjhanlon@msn.com writes:

A friend of mine sent me the following message. "Have you ever heard of a
Johnson Matchstick? Picked up the controller box at a fest recently but
have not been able to find any info on the www."

Anyone know what the Matchstick is?

Thanks,

Jim, W8KGI

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

```
-----
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v753.1)
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=Apple-Mail-2-311978424
Message-Id: <47C2664F-83A6-461F-BEDE-96B4AAE8E25B@bellsouth.net>
From: Joseph Pinner <kc5ijd@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: SRR12 Revival
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 10:52:01 -0400
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
```

```
--Apple-Mail-2-311978424
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset=US-ASCII;
    delpsp=yes;
    format=flowed
```

Ah yes - I had to do that years ago on a SRR-11 that I had. It
started smoking one day and I had to quickly shut it down. Bypassed
the AC filter and we were back in operation.

I don't have that sent any longer, but still do have a SRR-12 and FRR-23. They are some of my favorite receivers (though the SRR-12 needs work again).

On May 25, 2010, at 10:33 PM, Guido Santacana wrote:

> After more than a year in wait, I derived sufficient strength and
> removed the SSR12 from the shelf, removed the chassis and went into
> the AC filter module to bypass it.
>
> 73s
> Guido KP4FAR

Joseph Pinner +

KC5IJD / NNN0PHR

--Apple-Mail-2-311978424
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

--Apple-Mail-2-311978424--

Message-Id: <81r3gj\$1kbbnrg@avas3.coqui.net>
From: "Guido" <laffitte@prtc.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: SRR12 Revival
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 19:55:19 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It is quite a job indeed. Now I have to dig into my R1051B that has been

dead for a while probably from a power supply problem. I always dreaded the day when I would have to work on it but it's about time.

73s

Guido KP4FAR

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-boatanchors@theporch.com [mailto:owner-boatanchors@theporch.com]

On Behalf Of Joseph Pinner

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:52 AM

To: Old Tube Radios

Subject: Re: SRR12 Revival

Ah yes - I had to do that years ago on a SRR-11 that I had. It started smoking one day and I had to quickly shut it down. Bypassed the AC filter and we were back in operation.

I don't have that sent any longer, but still do have a SRR-12 and FRR-23. They are some of my favorite receivers (though the SRR-12 needs work again).

On May 25, 2010, at 10:33 PM, Guido Santacana wrote:

> After more than a year in wait, I derived sufficient strength and
> removed the SSR12 from the shelf, removed the chassis and went into
> the AC filter module to bypass it.

>

> 73s

> Guido KP4FAR

Joseph Pinner +

KC5IJD / NNNOPHR

Message-ID: <7F653D0F4295413FA9B9770B852F7739@robert7549ca67>

From: "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Johnson Manuals- Originals

Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 20:23:55 -0500

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----_NextPart_000_001E_01CAFDDA.87810D40"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_001E_01CAFDDA.87810D40
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Johnson Viking II Manual - brown cover(I suspect the earlier version)
Johnson Viking II Manual - grey cover
\$40 for both including shipping.
Bob
wa0vrc

-----=_NextPart_000_001E_01CAFDDA.87810D40
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=_NextPart_000_001E_01CAFDDA.87810D40--

Message-ID: <F22C86DA743E433193E009DAAB659DC2@robert7549ca67>
From: "Bob Kemp" <bkemp@bobkemp.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Boxes of Tubes
Date: Thu, 27 May 2010 20:27:33 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01CAFDDB.09869300"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01CAFDDB.09869300
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Have Lots of tubes. Prefer a local Pick-up in SE Mn. Will sell any or =
all, let me know your wants.

Bob
wa0vrc

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01CAFDDB.09869300

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *

-----=_NextPart_000_002F_01CAFDDDB.09869300--

From: "Lenox Carruth" <radios@sbcglobal.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Memorial Day TCS Station
Date: Sun, 30 May 2010 17:22:13 -0500
Message-ID: <07ed01cb0046\$8dfff7170\$a9fe5450\$@net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Language: en-us

Here in Dallas, we will be operating a World War II TCS on approximately =
7,040.00 using the call N5NT from about 9:00 am till noon CDT. This is =
a display for a local, annual Memorial Day event. It is a public event =
and the crowd always enjoys it when we actually make contacts. Anyone =
wishing to help by contacting us would be greatly appreciated. The =
signal will probably be weak as the antenna system is marginal at best.

Many thanks!

Lenox Carruth
WA50VG

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 4336
